Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,525	YOSHINO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1794
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Pamela R. Schwartz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Wu</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 October 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejections for obviousness double patenting.		
Claims discussed: all pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
a AAT		
(Examiner/SPE/signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/658,525

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that rejections for obviousness type double patenting could be avoided with the filing of terminal disclaimers over Patents 5,707,716 and 6,576,324. Ms. Wu indicated that terminal disclaimers could not be provided at this time and requested that an office action with the rejections be sent out.